

UNSW CRICOS PROVIDER NO: 00098G

FACULTY OF LAW Graham Greenleaf Professor of Law 23 November 2006

Professor Allan Fels, AO Chair, Consumer and Privacy Taskforce Department of Human Services

Dear Professor Fels,

Submission #4: Shortcomings of the Taskforce's first Report

I refer to the Access Card Consumer and Privacy Taskforce's first Report 'Issues and recommendations in relation to architecture questions of the Access Card', 25 September 2006.

While the Report contains much interesting and valuable analysis of the little that is known as yet by the public of the government's proposals, I submit that the Recommendations of the Report fail to carry out the Taskforce's responsibilities to the Australian public. The details of this submission are contained in the attached submission entitled 'Australian ID Taskforce Report: A sheep in wolf's clothing' which concludes 'The Taskforce should get off the fence.'

In light of your Report and the Government's response, I have revised my previous comparison between the Access Card' proposal with the 'Australia Card' proposal. The revised paper, 'Australia's proposed ID Card: Still quacking like a duck', is attached as a submission to your Taskforce. I find few reasons to vary any of my previous conclusions.

This submission and supporting papers will shortly be available on the Cyberspace Law & Policy Centre website.

Your sincerely,

Graham Greenleaf Co-Director Cyberspace Law & Policy Centre

Cc: Mr Chris Puplick; Mr John Wood; Mr Ben Battisson